

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/909,980	07/23/2001	Takayuki Suzuki	53375/1439	2298
1933	7590 09/22/2004		EXAM	INER
	HOLTZ, GOODMAN	LEUBECKER, JOHN P		
767 THIRD A 25TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK, NY 10017-2023			3739	

DATE MAILED: 09/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		9
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/909,980	SUZUKI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	John P. Leubecker	3739
The MAILING DATE of this community Period for Reply	ication appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum state - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a resultation. D) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt atutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON will, by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>04 June 2004</u> .	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .	2b) This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition	for allowance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practi	ce under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,7-12 and 20-31</u> is/are p	ending in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	re withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,9,11,12,21,22,24 and</u>		
7) Claim(s) 2,7,8,10,20,23,25 and 31 is		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any object	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	, <u> </u>	, , ,
11) The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
2. Certified copies of the priority3. Copies of the certified copies	for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § documents have been received. documents have been received in A of the priority documents have been nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No
* See the attached detailed Office actio	n for a list of the certified copies not	received.
·		
Attachment(s)		
1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	4) ☐ Interview S TO-948) Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/4/04.		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/909,980

Art Unit: 3739

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Page 2

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 4, 9, 11, 12, 24 and 26-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Laufer et al. (U.S. Pat. 6,494,888).

Laufer et al. disclose a first endoscope (710, Fig. 1) having an observation system (715), a holding device (740) extending out of the distal end of the endoscope (note 740 extends through channel 776 which is shown in Figure 1 to extend out of the distal end of endoscope 710), the holding device comprising a distal end portion (740, which can be grasping elements, col.6, lines 55-58) and an elongated portion that passes through a channel (776, col.4, lines 35-40), a first needle (818a, Fig. 4B) that is moveable relative to the endoscope (note movement in Figs. 9B and 9C), a second needle (818b, Fig. 4B) positioned beside and spaced apart by a distance from the first needle and a suture (822, Fig. 6B). As to claim 3, grasping elements of the holding device as pointed out above would anticipate at least two moveable jaws. As to claims 4 and 27, the needles have a hollow space (827, Fig. 6B) disposed therein. As to claims 9 and 24, a suture retaining device includes two jaws (734,732, Fig. 4A), wherein one comprises a grasping section (734, Fig. 4B) which is capable of grasping the suture (note Fig. 8). As to claims 11 and

Application/Control Number: 09/909,980 Page 3

Art Unit: 3739

28, the first needle and second needle are capable of being moved as claimed (Fig. 1). As to claims 12 and 29, the grasping elements of the holding device are capable of holding any tissue and the needles are movable to be positioned where desired. As to claim 26, note that the second needle (818b, Fig.4B) is movable relative to the endoscope and includes a suture (822, Fig.6B).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found 3. in a prior Office action.
- Claims 1, 3, 4, 11, 12, 21, 22 and 26-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being 4. unpatentable over Matsui et al. (Jap. Pat. Pub. 2000-033071) for the reasons set forth in numbered paragraph 6 of the previous Office Action, paper number 20.

As to claims 1, 3, 4, 11, 12, 21 and 26-30, and as previously pointed out, the channels 15 and 23 are NOT designed for use with any particular treatment tool. The Examiner maintains his position that it would have been obvious to use any combination of treatment tools in any arrangement within the channels. This would obviously include use of two needles since a needle is considered a treatment tool.

As to claim 22, note again note openings at 19, Fig. 2 and 38, Fig. 5. Any of these openings are proximal to the distal end.

Allowable Subject Matter

Art Unit: 3739

5. Claims 2, 7, 8, 10, 20, 23, 25 and 31 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed June 4, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

It is noted that although Applicant combined limitations of a claim that was indicated as allowable, not all limitations of that claim and any intervening claim were maintained.

Applicant's different combination of elements in amended claim 1 cause a search to be required.

Rejections based on the results of that search appear above.

Regarding the rejection under 35 USC 103 in view of Matsui et al. (U.S. Pat. 6,352,503), although the Examiner could not locate ownership information on the instant application, Applicant's statement of common ownership appears to be sufficient to remove Matsui et al. as a reference in the 103 rejection. Since Applicant has recognized that the priority documents (esp. JP 2000-033071) of the Matsui et al. reference are proper references against the present invention (i.e., the same rejection proposed by the Examiner would apply), Applicant has addressed the rejection with respect to those priority documents. Applicants efforts to advance prosecution in this matter are appreciated.

Unfortunately, Applicant's arguments with respect to this rejection do not treat the merits of the obviousness rejection. Instead, Applicant points out the differences between the present invention and JP 2000-033071 as if this reference had been applied as an anticipatory reference.

Art Unit: 3739

The Examiner had recognized that the only difference between the invention of claim 22 and the device disclosed by Matsui et al. (now JP 2000-003071) was which tools were placed in which channels. The Examiner does not think it can be argued that the level of ordinary skill in this art (a level high enough to allow one to make and use a device such as the one shown in JP 2000-033071) would prohibit one of ordinary skill from considering any tool positioned in any of the channels to perform a desired procedure. And, as the Examiner pointed out, the channels were not specifically designed for specific surgical tools, i.e., no one channel is designed for exclusive use by a specific surgical tool, as the specific tools actually used in the JP 2000-033071 device are exemplary of the tools needed in an arbitrary procedure. Accordingly, use of any desired surgical tools in any of the tool channels to perform any desired procedure provides for a motivation to do such since this would increase the functionality and usability of the device disclosed by JP 2000-033071

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Yoon (U.S. Pat. 5,797,927)—note device with grasping elements and two needles (Fig. 1).

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3739

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John P. Leubecker whose telephone number is (703) 308-0951. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 6:00 AM to 2:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C.M. Dvorak can be reached on (703) 308-0994. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jehn P. Leubecker Primary Examiner Art Unit 3739